



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/148,750 S.H. DWORET	11/05/93	CEZANNE		т •	
·		OF THIME			0
S.H. NWARET					.8 AMINER
Տ.Н. ԴԱՌԲԵՂ			L_	EX RINICH,S	AMINEH
S.H. DWORET	The House	26M2/0126	, <u>D</u> I		
CALLA DWORLS	rsky		*ye_	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBE
AT&T BELL L	ABORATORIES		1		2
600 MOUNTAI	IN AVENUE, P	.O. BOX 636	, sign		Í
MURRAY HILL	., NJ 07974-0	0636	2.13	2612	
	· (	के <b>भव</b> ता का ता १८वी	DAT	E MALED:	
				<b>- 2</b>	01/26/95
nis is a communication COMMISSIONER OF P	TOTT THE EXAMINET IN CH ATENTS AND TRADEM	large of your application.	dian cos es	2 4	3.1
± SE¢	of uppolicat	if a fire out ent	11 + F 1 + F		•
				S. DEN	
J. Cla'ı	mr. 1, 3-4, jn	re 11-13 and 1		a se bas	<b>A</b> .
				Г	
102 (L) 8	හිටි විවිධිවිලි and	Responsive to communicat	et ai.		his action is mad
shortened statutory pe	riod for response to this	action is set to expire	month(s).	days from	the date of this letter.
allure to respond within	the period for response	will cause the application to b	secome abandoned. 35	5 U.S.C. 133	and delication of the state of
					M.
BR I: THE FOLLOWIN	IG ATTACHMENT(S) A	REPART OF THIS ACTION:	loh evalu t≃	C 1 1802	€11
. <b></b>			67		
Notice of Refe	erences Ofted by Examir	ner, PTO-892.	2. LALNotice of D	raftsman's Paten	Drawing Review, PT
	Cited by Applicant, PTO		4.   Notice of In		plication, PTO-152.
5. Information of	n How to Effect Drawing	Changes, PTO-1474 2111	lei <b>.8.</b> 🔛	ā n. i ·	<i>,</i> .
ert (I _1SUMMARY.OF	ACTION				
ara ⊥ouabyant/UP L		್ಕ ಆ ಕಾಮಾರ್ಯ		r.	r + .
. 🛛 Claims ${\mathcal I}$	1-23	)			n nanding in the!
T			<del>1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 /</del>	- <del> a</del>	e pending in the appl
Of the abo	ve, daims	4. L	F	sre wit	hdrawn from conside
				4550	F1.
Claims	<del></del>		<del></del>	hr	ve been cancelled.
Claims			_	'	
ا. الــا Claims	<del></del>				re allowed.
. 🗆 Claims	-4 7.11 <b>-</b> 13.	18 4 21-23			
	1/2	-yv		a	re rejected.
i. 🗆 claims	-6.8-10,	14-17. I 19.	-21	2	re objected to.
	Tail.	11/1/19	J. J	<del>,                                      </del>	Jojevied W.
i. Claims					r election requirement
The i	ATER <b>O</b> NTE LE LA	E gesellen ef	1 25 D.C	1 143 VA	1. 1.
This application I الحر	has been filed with Infor	mal drawings under 37 C.F.R.	1.85 which are accepta	able for examinat	on purposes.
Formal drawlage	are required in respons	a to this Office nation			
			,		
. The corrected or	substitute drawings hav	re been received on		. Under 37 C.F.F	R. 1.84 these drawing
are acceptable	le; 🛘 not acceptable (se	e explanation or Notice of Dro	aftsman's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-	948).
	a dilik	he .		7. 77	•
The proposed ac	dditional or substitute sh	eet(s) of drawings, filed on	LOE D) has (	(have) been 🔲	approved by the
examiner; 🔲 dis	sapproved by the examb	ner (see explanation). 🕒 🗠			and the state of
	awing correction, filed	and the second second	. Nat 		•
ווו ו וווו proposed Gra	away correction, filed	, has b	peen Dapproved;	disapproved (see	explanation).
. Acknowledgemen	nt is made of the claim fo	or priority under 35 U.S.C. 11	9. The certified conv h	as [] heen rensi	ved
	arent application, serial	no; fi	led on	realisaces	AND THE HOT DEEN LAC
D been filed in p				<u> </u>	
☐ been filed in p					
been filed in p	ation apppears to be in c	ondition for allowance except	for formal matters, pros	secution as to the	merits is closed in
been filed in p	ation apppears to be in c the practice under Ex pa	ondition for allowance except arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 450	for formal matters, pros 3 O.G. 213.	secution as to the	merits is closed in
been filed in p	ation apppears to be in c the practice under Ex pa	ondition for allowance except arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 450	for formal matters, pros 3 O.G. 213.	secution as to the	merits is closed in
Since this applica accordance with	ation apppears to be in c the practice under Ex pa	ondition for allowance except rite Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 450	for formal matters, pros 3 O.G. 213.	secution as to the	merits is closed in
Since this applica accordance with	ation apppears to be in c the practice under Ex pa	ondition for allowance except arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 450	for formal matters, pros 3 O.G. 213.	secution as to the	ments is closed in
been filed in p	ation apppears to be in c the practice under Ex pa	ondition for allowance except irte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 450	for formal matters, pros 3 O.G. 213.	secution as to the	merits is closed in

**EXAMINER'S ACTION** 

Serial Number: 08/148750

Art Unit: 2612

### Part III DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-4, are 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
- § 102(b) as being anticipated by Elko et al.

Elko et al. discloses (Abstract, Figure 6) a noise reduction processor for a microphone array which evaluates parameters and modifies microphone output signals in order to produce a modified array output signal (any output signal based on a modified microphone output signal is necessarily based on "one or more modified output signals and zero or more unmodified microphone output signals). In the final output of the microphone array, the nulls of the array to correspond to unwanted noise sources in the prescribed environment (so that sound from these sources has little or no effect on the final output signal).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Serial Number: 08/148750

Art Unit: 2612

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

3. Claims 2, 7, 18 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Elko et al.

Re claim 2, Elko et al discloses a single iteration of parameter evaluation, modifying microphone output signals, and forming an array output signals in order to set microphone array nulls so that the correspond to noise sources in the prescribed environment. The use of multiple iterations of a sense input - process input - output results procedure allows refinement of the processing in response to the output in order to bring the ultimate output closer to what is desired. The use of iterated steps in Elko et al. in order to refine the output in this manner would be an expedient obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Re claims 7, 18, and 22-23, Elko et al. does not specify the type of microphones used in the array. The use of standard cardioid, dipole, and/or omnidirectional sensors in order to fill this "black box", depending on which set of known directivity patterns will best enable the processing required to get the

Serial Number: 08/148750

Art Unit: 2612

desired directivity pattern for the entire array, would be an expedient obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-6, 8-10, 14-17, and 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Flanagan, Kaneda et al, Hansen, and Fukumizu disclose examples of directional microphone arrays.

6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The title is overly generic; some allegedly distinctive feature of the disclosed invention should be mentioned in the title.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Brinich whose telephone number is (703) 305-4390.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

smb

January 22, 1995

Stephen Brinich
Stephen Brinich
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 26

-4-